

So, current logic studies, including relevance logic or any other substructural/paraconsistent logics, are inadequate.

The following analyses could provide the foundation for a better scientific logic.

It is NOT a trivial issue. So, humans will never have the Theory of Everything. The logic in Prof. Gerard't Hooft's article Free Will in the Theory of Everything is wrong.

3) Thus, physical sciences, life sciences, intelligence sciences need very different reference systems. Humans should not stop at the reference system theory of general relativity.

4) These different reference systems need very different logic frameworks. There are paradigm shifts across these different reference systems related to logic frameworks. So, people should be specific about what exactly these paradigm shifts are in various situations.

[illegible]

Geoffrey Hinton 对 AGI 的期望是 AGI 能够理解 AGI 所接收到的所有信息并做出相应的反应

AGI

[illegible]

10 “ ”

“ ”

20. “<https://www.technologyreview.com/2019/05/22/400860/gerard-t-hooft-on-the-quest-for-the-unified-theory-of-physics/>”
 Freeman Dyson Gerard't Hooft
 Gerard't Hooft
 Gerard't Hooft

“ ” “ ” “ ”

Gerard't Hooft Gerard't Hooft

□ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □

----- □ □ □ □ □

[illegible][illegible][illegible][illegible]

410 415

[illegible][illegible][illegible]

paradigm shift

[illegible][illegible]

~~~~~

~~~~~

~~~~~

~~~~~

~~~~~

~~~~~

~~~~~

~~~~~

~~~~~

~~~~~

~~~~~

~~~~~

~~~~~

~~~~~

~~~~~

~~~~~

~~~~~

~~~~~

~~~~~

